FOR MYSORE STATE

P.N. (1956) Department.

14 SERIES.

G.O. No. 1693

Dated 4-4-56

This has been entered as a further paper for the 14th instant.

Chief Secy

[Despatch Abstract.]

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION - Irrigation - South Kanara District - Construction of dam across Madikai stream - ~~Recorded~~.

S/D

50574/55
HB

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT.

28-11-1955

STARRED.

SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR, M.L.A.

AGRICULTURE (FOOD PRODUCTION) DEPARTMENT.

*Q.NO.5529.

Will the Hon. the Minister for ~~Land~~ Public Works ~~Revenue~~ be pleased to state:-

(a) whether the Government have received any memorandum dated 17-10-55 from the cultivators of Madikai Village in Hosdnug sub-taluk, South Kanara requesting to construct a permanent ~~bund to~~ dam across the Madikai stream at Kaneechira; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

pkr/28/11/

Cno 2168/XV/55

PW VJ

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT.

28-11-1955

UNSTARRED.

SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR, M.L.A.

AGRICULTURE (FOOD PRODUCTION) DEPARTMENT.

HQ.NO.5529.

Will the Hon. the Minister for ~~Land~~ Public Works ~~Revenue~~ be pleased to state:-

(a) whether the Government have received any memorandum dated 17-10-55 from the cultivators of Madikai Village in Hosdnug sub-taluk, South Kanara requesting to construct a permanent ~~bund to~~ dam across the Madikai stream at Kaneechira; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

pkr/28/11/

P.W. VJ

To A.S.

It is for orders whether the copy of the Assembly question will be circulated to PW(W) in advance, for information Not necessary.

21.56 21.56. 2.1.56

D.S. 3.1.56

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
22 DEC 1955
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT
THIRD ASSISTANT

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT.
PUBLIC WORKS

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT, MADRAS

Note No. 12461/55-13 M.L. Dated 20-12-1955

Sub: L.A. Question No. 5529 (unstarred) by Sri M. Narayanan Nambiar, M.L.A., regarding the construction of permanent dam across the Madikai stream at Kanuchira.

Ref: This office U.O. Note No. 12125/55-3 M.L. dated 9-12-55.

**

27 DEC 1955
FOOD PRODUCTION

THIRD ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

In continuation of this office U.O. Note cited, the Agriculture (F.P) Department may be informed that at the request of the member concerned the Hon'ble the Speaker has since admitted the above unstarred question as starred.

K. AMIRTHALINGAM,
Assistant Secretary to the State Legislature.

To

The Agriculture Department.
Copy to the Public (Elections) Department.)
" to the Private Secretary to the Governor.) In continuation of this office U.O. Note No. 12125/55-6 M.L. dated 9-12-55.

Forwarded/By order.

T. M. Balasundaram
Superintendent.

sk/21.12

1
U

-2-

As

... P.W. no in continuation of his dept's no 50574/55-FP II B dated 27.12.55.

30/12/55.

27/12/55

As 2

PW.

Asst (FP) no 52985/55-1 PB

30/12/55

Asst Ar

Minute.

P.W.D. No

Sd/-

2.1.56.

for A.S

Agenda 31/Ar/56-1

2/1/56

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE
(Assembly Section)

28-11-1955.

STARRED

SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR, M.L.A.,

~~AGRICULTURE(FOOD PRODUCTION)~~ DEPARTMENT

Qn. No. 5529

Draft Answer

Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:--

(a) Whether the Government have received any memorandum dated 17-10-1955 from the cultivators of Madikai Village in Hosdrug sub-taluk, South Kanara requesting to construct a permanent dam across the Madikai stream at Kaneechira; and

(a) Yes.

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

(b) The petitioners have been informed that the proposal had already been examined but found not feasible.

CENTRAL DESPATCH BRANCH
Despatched by
6.2.56

To
The Sectt. of the M.L.(Assembly Secn)
The P.A. to M(W) 5 copies with note for answering & supplementaries
The Public (Elecns)

1 clean copy for file.

P.W.D. U.O.Note No. 2168 X-J-55-1

Dated: 16.1.56

[The above answer has been approved by M(W)]

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE

Assembly Section

28-11-1955

3209
1.3.

STARRED QUESTION NO. 5529

SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR, M.L.A.,
~~AGRICULTURE(FOOD PRODUCTION) DEPARTMENT~~
Public Works Department.

* On.No.5529

Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:--

(a) Whether the Government have received any memorandum dated 17-10-1955 from the cultivators of Madikai Village in Hosdrug sub-taluk, South Kanara requesting to construct a permanent dam across the Madikai stream at Kaneechira; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

ANSWER

(a) Yes.

(b) The petitioners have been informed that the proposal had already been examined but found not feasible.

(D. Amalorpavanathan)
for Secretary to Government

(The above answer has been approved by Minister(Works)

To
The Secretariat of the Madras Legislature(Assembly Section)
5 copies
The Personal Assistant to Minister(Works) with note for answering supplementaries.
The Public(Elections) Department
Xerox copy for file
P.W.D. U.O.Note No. 2168 X.I.55-1
dated 16-1-1956.

Note for answering Supplementaries -

STARRED QUESTION NO. 5529

Submitted that in their petition dated 17-10-1955, the agriculturists of Madikai and adjoining villages stated that they had to construct every year at a heavy cost of Rs. 750/- a temporary bund across the Madikai stream in Kaneechira, for retaining water for second crop. They therefore requested that a masonry (permanent) dam might be constructed at the site of the temporary dam for benefiting the wet lands.

The Construction of a permanent dam at the site was also suggested, in June 1953, by the Executive Officer, Kanhangad Panchayat Board, and he stated that the scheme would prevent the entry of salt water into 500 acres of paddy lands, would enable the raising of III Crop and also benefit the Medikai and Pudikai villages.

The Collector of South Kanara supported the suggestion and requested the P.W.D. to take up the investigation of the scheme. The proposal was accordingly examined by the Superintending Engineer but he reported that the proposal for the construction of a dam near Pudukai and Kanhangad would be very costly and not worth investigating. The C.E. agreed with the S.E.

The Board of Revenue recommended that the proposal be dropped.

The views of the Chief Engineer(Irrigation) and the Board of Revenue were agreed to and the Executive Officer, Kanhangad Panchayat Board, was informed that the suggestion had been examined, but found not feasible.

12

In view of the position stated above, the petitioners viz. the agriculturists of Madikai and Pudikai village, referred to in the Assembly question, were also informed that the request had already been examined but found not feasible.

R.M.Sundaram
Secretary to Government

/ True Copy /

SUPERINTENDENT



1121

13

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

U.O. Note No.3318 B/56-1 dated 17-3-1956.

Sub: Office Procedure - Legislative Assembly Proceedings - Extracts regarding questions and answers for further action supplemental questions and answers.

Ref: U.O. Note No.508/56-3. Public (Election III) dated 9-3-1956.

Extracts from the proceedings of the Legislative Assembly dated 1-3-1956 relating to questions and answers thereto are communicated to J section for information and necessary action.

D. AMALORPAVANATHAN,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

To

J Section (with enclosure).

Forwarded /By order/

Karim

SUPERINTENDENT.

cpsd. 20/3.

*Qn.No.3208.

INSPECTION OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE'S COURT AT COCHIN.

*3208 Q. SRI V.R. KRISHNA IYER:

Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have issued any instructions to the Secretary, Revenue Department to inspect the Subordinate Judge's Court at Cochin and to report on the judicial aspect of the work done there such as disposals of cases, manner of disposal, etc., and

(b) whether his inspection report has been sent to the High Court of Madras?

THE HON. SRI M.A. MANICKAVELU:

(a) No. The Government have not issued any such instructions to the Revenue Secretary nor has the latter inspected the Subordinate Judge's Court at Cochin.

(b) Does not arise.

SRI KUNHI MUHAMED SHAFEE: Sir, may I know whether any such inspection was conducted by the Revenue Secretary of the Sub Court at Cochin?

THE HON.SRI M.A.MANICKAVELU: I think the Secretary inspected the Bastion House, Fort Cochin. Probably there is some confusion that he might have just paid a visit to the other place. But the inspection was about the Bastion House.

*Qn.No.3209: Answered

DAM ACROSS MADIKAI STREAM.

*3209 Q. SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR:

Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have received any memorandum dated 17-10-1955 from the cultivators of Madikai Village in Hosdrug sub-taluk, South Kanara requesting to construct a permanent dam across the Madikai stream at Kaneechira; and

*

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

THE HON. SRI R. SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI:

(a) Yes.

(b) The petitioners have been informed that the proposal had already been examined but found not feasible.

*Qn.No.3210: (Put and answered)

HIGHER ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS.

*3210 Q: SRI P. KUNHI RAMAN KIDAVU

Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state whether the Government have any proposal to convert all higher elementary schools into Middle Schools in the State?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:

No, Sir.

SRI P.K.GOPALAKRISHNAN: In view of the fact that the Government have taken the welcome step of granting full fee concessions to poor students in all lower secondaryx schools, students want to join the Middle Schools and not the Higher Elementary Schools and wherever there are High Schools nearby, the students in Higher Elementary Schools go to the High Schools and join the First, Second and Third Forms rather than studying in the sixth, seventh and eighth standards. With the result, the strength in the Higher Elementary Schools gets depleted. In view of this, will the Government take a decision to transform all the higher elementary schools in the State into Middle Schools?

THE HON.SRI C.SUBRAMANIAM: In any event, the number of seats

Madras Legis. 1-3—8,006—26-5-53.

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE,
GOVERNMENT ESTATE, MOUNT ROAD,
Madras, dated the 8.12.1955.

QUESTION No. 5529 for the next meeting of the Legislative Assembly ~~to be held on the~~ ~~195~~

Two copies of this question are forwarded to the Agriculture (Food Production) Department.

The question has been admitted.

8/12 8.12.55

To

The Agriculture (FP) Department.

K. AMIRTHALINGAM,
Assistant Secretary to the Assembly.

/TRUE COPY/

Forwarded/By Order.

T. M. Bala Sundaram
SUPERINTENDENT.

No. No 12125/55-3ML
9.12.55

BOARD OF REVENUE
5054
11 DEC 1955
FOOD PRODUCTION

Agri (FP)

As, Please see unstarred L.A Qn. no. 1 of 9/12 given notice of by Sri M. Narayanan

P.T.O

Nambiar M. L. A. The Member wants to know whether the Govt have received any memorandum dated 17.10.55 from the cultivators of Madikai vge in S. Kanara Dt. requesting for the construction of a permanent dam across the Madikai Stream at Kanacchira and if so, the action taken thereon. No such memorandum has been received in this Dept. However, the C.E(I) may be asked to state whether any such petn was received by him either direct or from the Govt. and if so, the action taken by him thereon. Draft memo submitted below is for approval.

2. Rev and P.W.D may see before issue.

17.12.55

17/12/55

17/12/55

Rev

Rev

AS2
Rev
PWD.

Agri (FP) M.O
No. 50574/55-1
dt 17.12.55

11/12/55

Rev. dept

To U.S.

please see the note of the Agri (F.P) on pp 1-2 above and the draft below. ~~The representation~~ No such representation of the nature referred to in the L.A. question in the cf was received in this dept. With this information, this may be passed on to P.W.

20.12.55

21/12/55

PWD

P W J,

P.W

Rev. U.O. 112 818 H/55.1

21.12.55

22 DEC 1955

To A.S.

The representation referred to in the cf. has been received in this dept and disposed of in memo no 1438 X-J. 55. 1 d/ 15.11.55

A.(F.P) may therefore be requested to transfer the case to this dept

23/12/55

23.12.55

Agri (F.P)

PW memo 2101 X/55/1

23/12/55

2168X

52/1998

28 DEC 1955

A.S.

May be transferred to P.W.D., with reference to X above.

Agri (F.P. II B) U.O. 52998/55-2

27/XII/55

27/XII/55

27/12

PWD

P.W.D.(J.L)

Please see the Assembly Question on R. 1 c.f. As the question which was previously unstarred has since been converted into starred, there will be supplementaries.

2. The M.L.A. has enquired whether the Government have received any memorandum dated 17-10-55 from the cultivators of Madikai village in Hosdrug Sub-taluk, Kasargad taluk, South Kanara District, requesting the construction of a permanent dam across the Medikai stream at Kaneechira and if so, the action taken theneon.

3. Submitted that in their petition dated 17-10-1955, the agriculturists of Madikai and adjoining villages stated that they had to construct every year at a heavy cost of Rs. 750/- a temporary bund across the Madikai stream in Kaneechira, for retaining water for second crop. They therefore requested that a masonry (permanent) dam might be constructed at the site of the temporary dam for benefiting the wet lands.

4. The construction of a permanent dam (at the site) was also suggested, in June 1953, by the Executive Officer, Kanhangad Panchayat Board, and he stated that the scheme would prevent the entry of salt water into 500 acres of paddy lands, would enable the raising of III Crop and also benefit the Medikai and Pudikai villages.

5. The Collector of South Kanara supported the suggestion and requested the P.W.D. to take up the investigation of the scheme. The proposal was accordingly examined by the S.E., but he reported that the proposal for the construction of a dam near Pudukai and Kanhangod would be very costly and not worth investigating. The C.E. agreed with the S.E.

The

The Board of Revenue recommended that the proposal be dropped.

6. The views of the C.E.(I) and the Board of Revenue were agreed to and the Executive Officer, Kanhangod Panchayat Board, was informed that the suggestion had been examined, but found not feasible.

7. In view of the position stated above, the petitioners viz. the agriculturists of Madikai and Pudikai village, referred to in the Assembly question, were also informed that the request had already been examined but found not feasible.

8. A draft answer is submitted.

Paras 3-7 above may be used as note for answering supplementaries.

9. The case may be circulated to M(W) for approval.

10-1-56

11.1.56

11.1.56

11-1-57

Sir,

M(W).

16.1.56

16.1.56

[Issued as. memo 2168 X V 55 I of 16-1-56]

PW.J.

To A.S.

The question has been answered in the House on 1.3.56. The receipt of official proceedings may be awaited till 20.3.56.

PW 23.56 PW 23.56

3.3.56

[From P.W.D.B.Sec. noute no 3318.B.56.1 of 17.3.56]

Please see on pp 15-17 of the extracts from the official proceedings of the Assembly.

2. No further action seems called for in this. The papers may be recorded in G.O.Ms for facility of future reference.

PW 24.3.56 SAB 24.3.56

2.4.56

G.O.Ms. 1693 - 4/4/56

Legislature Assembly Qn. (Irrg) Irrigation - South Kanara Dt. Construction of dam across Madikai Stream - Recorded

VR 6/4